

Feedback received as of noon, Monday, May 1

Please indicate any changes, additions, or subtractions that should be made to the draft report's recommendations.

- I fail to see how splitting departments and schools do anything, but weaken those departments and schools.
- A lot of effort went into a 50 page draft report. As a proposal this seems reasonable.
- I am still not clear as to why the Department of Biology needs to be split with DNAP joining the new school. The report says that "The New College will serve as the gateway to this collaboration by providing our students with an inter-professional education. This is necessary to prepare our graduates by providing holistic, patient-centered prevention programs, assessments, diagnoses, treatment plans, and chronic illness management in a collaborative manner." But DNAP students will not be participating in these programs as they work in surgical (inpatient and outpatient) facilities. So they will not engage in IPE or IPC. Moreover, this is acknowledged in the report in Phase 2 (pp. 12) when the contributions of each department are listed and DNAP is not included. While there may be some synergies that could be pursued, a shift could also put accreditation for the DNAP program at risk. That is a much greater risk than any benefit that could be achieved.
- I suppose the Dept of Mathematical Sciences will stay with SEST. However, those of us who teach biostatistics might explore some sort of association with the new school.
- Keep Social Work, Counselor Education and Family Therapy where it currently is. Do not split up the Department of Biology. The DNAP should be with Nursing in the New College.

Please indicate any other corrections, additions, subtractions, etc., that you believe the Task Force should make to the draft report.

- Give a detailed accounting of the cost of additional deans, secretaries, benefits, and other related cost of operating a school. cost.
- The task force is to be congratulated for the extensive involvement of the full-time faculty in this draft report. However, full-time faculty will not be the only faculty members impacted. Some of the departments and programs in the new school have considerable numbers of part-time faculty. Have they been consulted, or have they been reached out to? I am concerned that without careful thought and input from part-time faculty, we will run into the same set of problems part-time faculty face elsewhere. Will there be adequate resources, support, and space for part-time faculty? In the design and implementation of a new school, there can be a historic opportunity to "get it right" regarding part-time faculty. Remember that our teaching conditions (including part-time faculty conditions) are our students' learning conditions.

Please indicate any comments that you have on the desirability of the creation of the new College. Do you support/oppose the plan? Feel free to elaborate.

- Although I think this is a good idea, I oppose the plan at this time. The costs associated with this initiative are substantial. The appropriations budget is grim. We are struggling to recruit Deans (and faculty & staff) - for many reasons, but including our inability to pay a competitive salary. This will be yet another costly layer at the Executive/Management level. CCSU cannot afford such a project at this point in time. Let's improve what we already have - there is much work to be done.
- I find it amusing that as the BOR is pushing consolidation, CCSU is pushing separation.
- I oppose the creation of this college. While a good idea and long term plan, there are many, many, many other things at CCSU that require immediate attention before placing large amounts of resources into a new college. As example, can the School of Engineering, Science, and Technology please have a permanent Dean? Can we have this Dean long enough for the school to heal, rejuvenate, and develop some momentum?
- I do support the new college / school, particularly if we can do right by the part-time faculty majority.
- We still do not have a clear vision of the costs of this program. At a time when AAUP is asking faculty to help lobby to garner a few more dollars from the state, I have to wonder which departments in other schools will be sacrificed to create the School of Allied Health. What new resources will the school require aside from a dean, associate deans, etc.? Why is Counseling, which unanimously voted no, still expected to move? Will the name be changed to address their concerns or will they be buried in a school that does not reflect their important work? What will become of those departments left behind in SEPS? There are more unanswered questions but foremost of all is cost.

- The plan is based on unverifiable claims about needs and unverifiable claims about solutions. In case the premises are false, the project will fail. In the most recent example, covid money created the illusion of financial health of the university until the reality kicked in. The same I can say about this project. Many of the programs to be included are already failing. Too expensive, ill conceived, based on quicksand. Opposed.
- If the deans agree on this endeavor, I support. However, I am concerned with this university-wide structural change. If not being handled appropriately, its negative impact will be greater than the potential benefits.
- Strongly support.
- A New College should not be created at this time. With dropping enrollment, is this something that needs to be a priority at this time?
- I like the idea of a new college focused on the health sciences. Speaking from a Biostatistics and Data Science perspective, I think that the college would permit collaborations on new and innovative health related technologies and health related studies. This will allow students a wonderful opportunity to gain relevant experience to improve their CV and job prospects. There are several new STAT and DATA courses from the Department of Mathematical Sciences that would be great additions to various health science programs. I am already involved with the Nursing and DNAP programs from a collaboration perspective and feel that this college would only enhance these opportunities for students and faculty across CCSU. I am not sure about office/lab space available for the new college (building) or the feasibility of the 'clinic'. But dream big and see what is possible. Good luck with this!